

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

13 On February 17, 2011, a jury convicted Darryl Walizer of violating
14 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b) by using the Internet in an attempt to coerce or entice 14-year
15 old “Alecia” - in reality a police detective named Tony Godwin - into engaging in an
16 illegal sex act as charged in Count I of the Indictment. At sentencing, this Court
17 found Walizer also in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2260A which requires a court to
18 impose a ten year consecutive sentence on any person who, while required to register
19 as a sex offender, commits one of several enumerated crimes against a minor, as
20 charged in Count II of the Indictment.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed Walizer's conviction on Count I, but on stipulation of the Parties, concluded that this Court erred by "usurping the jury's role in determining that Walizer violated U.S.C. § 2260A - irrespective of whether § 2260A defines a criminal offense or a sentencing enhancement." As a result, the Court of Appeals remanded Count II to this Court for trial.

1 On remand, Defendant Walizer waived trial by jury and the Parties
2 consented to proceed to trial before the Court Sitting without a jury. Trial was
3 conducted on October 18, 2013 at which the testimony of a single witness, Special
4 Agent Martin Yates was presented, and two exhibits, A & B, were received.


5 Defendant Walizer is charged in Count II of the Indictment with
6 Commission of a Felony Sex Offense by an Individual Required to Register as a Sex
7 Offender Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2260A. Specifically, Count II of the Indictment
8 alleges that on March 12, 2010, the date of his arrest for the offense of Coercion and
9 Enticement charged in Count I of the Indictment, Defendant Walizer had a prior
10 conviction for Solicitation of a Juvenile to Commit a Felony in the state of Virginia,
11 and a prior conviction for Importuning in the state of Ohio. As a result of these prior
12 convictions, Defendant Walizer was required by federal or other law to register as a
13 sex offender.

14 The evidence adduced at trial before the Court establishes beyond a
15 reasonable doubt that Defendant Walizer has been convicted of the offense of
16 Coercion and Enticement charged in Count I of the Indictment. The evidence before
17 the Court also establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that prior to March 12, 2010,
18 Defendant Walizer had suffered the convictions in Virginia and Ohio alleged in
19 Count II of the Indictment. The Court further finds beyond a reasonable doubt that
20 at the time of his arrest on March 12, 2010, Defendant Walizer had previously, on
21 February 1, 2010, registered as a Sex Offender with the Nevada Department of
22 Public Safety, wherein he accurately stated his prior convictions in Virginia and
23 Ohio. *See Exhibit A.* The evidence at trial further establishes beyond a reasonable
24 doubt that Defendant Walizer was required to register as a sex offender under Nev.
25 Rev. Stat. 179. In sum, the Court finds beyond a reasonable doubt that Defendant
26 Walizer was required by the law of the State of Nevada to register as a sex offender,

1 and did commit the felony offense involving a minor under 18 U.S.C. § 2422, as
2 charged in Count I of the Indictment. As a result, the Court finds Defendant Walizer
3 guilty of the offense charged in Count II of the Indictment.

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that this case is hereby restored to the Court's calendar
5 for sentencing on **Monday, December 2, 2013 at the hour 9:00 a.m.**

6
7 DATED: November 25, 2013.
8
9

10 
11 PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26